# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

#### FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 5378-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: HJR 71

Subject: Constitutional Amendments; Taxation and Revenue - Property; Military Affairs

<u>Type</u>: Original

<u>Date</u>: March 6, 2012

Bill Summary: This proposal exempts from taxation of personal property of active duty

military personnel.

## **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
General Revenue	\$0 or (More than \$7,000,000)	\$0	\$0	
Total Estimated Net Effect on				
General Revenue Fund	\$0 or (More than \$7,000,000)	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
Blind Pension	\$0 or (More than	\$0 or (More than	\$0 or (More than	
	\$100,000)	\$100,000)	\$100,000)	
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$0 or (More than	\$0 or (More than	\$0 or (More than	
	\$100,000)	\$100,000)	\$100,000)	

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 7 pages.

L.R. No. 5378-01 Bill No. HJR 71 Page 2 of 7 March 6, 2012

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

- □ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- □ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS					
FUND AFFECTED FY 2013 FY 2014 FY 201					
Local Government         \$0 or (More than \$100,000)         \$0 or (More than \$100,000)         \$0 or (More than \$100,000)					

L.R. No. 5378-01 Bill No. HJR 71 Page 3 of 7 March 6, 2012

#### FISCAL ANALYSIS

#### ASSUMPTION

Officials at the **Office of the Secretary of State** (**SOS**) assume unless a special election is called for the purpose, joint resolutions are submitted to a vote of the people at the next general election. If a special election is called to submit a joint resolution to a vote of the people, section 115.063.2 RSMo requires the state to pay the costs. Article III section 52(b) of the Missouri Constitution authorizes the General Assembly to order a special election for measures referred to the people and Article XII section 2(b) authorizes the Governor to call a special election to submit constitutional amendments to a vote of the people.

The SOS is required to pay for publishing in local newspapers the full text of each statewide ballot measure as directed by Article XII, Section 2 (b) of the Missouri Constitution and Section 116.230-116.290, RSMo. The Secretary of State's office is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. Funding for this item is adjusted each year depending upon the election cycle with \$1.3 million historically appropriated in odd numbered fiscal years and \$100,000 appropriated in even numbered fiscal years to meet these requirements. The appropriation has historically been an estimated appropriation because the final cost is dependent upon the number of ballot measures approved by the General Assembly and the initiative petitions certified for the ballot. In FY 2011, at the August and November elections, there were 6 statewide Constitutional Amendments or ballot propositions that cost \$1.02 million to publish (an average of \$170,000 per issue). Therefore, the Secretary of State's office assumes, for the purposes of this fiscal note, that it should have the full appropriation authority it needs to meet the publishing requirements. However, because these requirements are mandatory, we reserve the right to request funding to meet the cost of our publishing requirements if the Governor and the General Assembly change the amount or eliminate the estimated nature of our appropriation.

**Oversight** has reflected in this fiscal note, the state potentially reimbursing local political subdivisions the cost of having this joint resolution voted on during a special election in fiscal year 2013. This reflects the decision made by the Joint Committee on Legislative Research, that the cost of the elections should be shown in the fiscal note. The next scheduled general election is in November 2012 (FY 2013). It is assumed the subject within this proposal could be on that ballot; however, it could also be on a special election called for by the Governor. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a potential election cost reimbursement to local political subdivisions in FY 2013.

KC:LR:OD

L.R. No. 5378-01 Bill No. HJR 71 Page 4 of 7 March 6, 2012

#### <u>ASSUMPTION</u> (continued)

To estimate the expense the state would incur for reimbursing local political subdivisions for a special election, Oversight requested expense estimates from all election authorities for an election. Eighty-six out of the one hundred fifteen election authorities responded to Oversight's request. From these respondents; the total election expense that would have to be reimbursed by the state government is over \$7 million. Therefore, Oversight will reflect a potential cost borne by the state in FY 2013 of over \$7 million for reimbursement to the local political subdivisions. Oversight assumes the Governor could call for a special election to be held prior to November 2012 regarding this joint resolution; however, if a special election is not called, the subject will be voted on at the general election in November, 2012.

Officials from the **Department of Revenue** assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their organization.

Officials from Laclede County and Webb City did not respond to our request for fiscal impact.

In response to a similar proposal from 2007, officials from **Nodaway County** and the **Office of the Nodaway County Treasurer** assumed this proposal would have only a minimal impact on their organizations.

Officials from the **State Tax Commission** state this proposal would exempt from taxation all personal property owned by any active duty military person while such person is stationed outside the United States and the property is not in use. The State Tax Commission does not have information available to project the amount of revenue loss to the local political subdivisions.

**Oversight** notes the legislation would propose a constitutional amendment to be submitted to the voters. An exemption from taxation and resulting revenue loss to the state or local taxing authorities would result from passage of the constitutional amendment. Oversight also assumes this proposal would be submitted to the voters at the November, 2012, general election rather than at a special election. Oversight will indicate a loss of tax revenue of \$0 or "More than \$100,000" to Local Governments and to the Blind Pension Fund.

L.R. No. 5378-01 Bill No. HJR 71 Page 5 of 7 March 6, 2012

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2013 (10 Mo.)	FY 2014	FY 2015
GENERAL REVENUE			
<u>Transfer Out</u> - reimbursement of local election authorities for election costs	\$0 or (More than \$7,000,000)	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT TO THE GENERAL REVENUE FUND	\$0 or (More than <u>\$7,000,000)</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
BLIND PENSION FUND			
Revenue reduction-			
Personal property tax exemption	\$0 or (More than \$100,000)	\$0 or (More than \$100,000)	\$0 or (More than \$100,000)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON BLIND PENSION FUND	\$0 or (More than \$100,000)	\$0 or (More <u>than \$100,000)</u>	\$0 or (More <u>than \$100,000)</u>
	than \$100,000) FY 2013	•	`
BLIND PENSION FUND	than \$100,000)	than \$100,000)	than \$100,000)
BLIND PENSION FUND  FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	than \$100,000) FY 2013	than \$100,000)	than \$100,000)
BLIND PENSION FUND  FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government  LOCAL ELECTION AUTHORITIES  Transfer In - cost reimbursement from the	FY 2013 (10 Mo.) \$0 or More than	<u>than \$100,000)</u> FY 2014	than \$100,000) FY 2015

L.R. No. 5378-01 Bill No. HJR 71 Page 6 of 7 March 6, 2012

FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
(continued)	(10 Mo.)		

#### LOCAL GOVERNMENTS

Revenue Reduction-	\$0 or (More	\$0 or (More	\$0 or (More
Personal property tax exemption	than \$100,000)	than \$100,000)	than \$100,000)

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON	\$0 or (More	\$0 or (More	\$0 or (More
LOCAL GOVERNMENTS	than \$100,000)	than \$100,000)	than \$100,000)

### FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

## **FISCAL DESCRIPTION**

Upon voter approval, this proposed constitutional amendment authorizes an exemption from property tax for an active-duty, military person's personal property that is not in use while he or she is stationed outside the country.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

L.R. No. 5378-01 Bill No. HJR 71 Page 7 of 7 March 6, 2012

# **SOURCES OF INFORMATION**

Office of the Secretary of State State Tax Commission Department of Revenue Nodaway County Office of the Nodaway County Treasurer

## **NOT RESPONDING**

Webb City Laclede County

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director March 6, 2012